

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: John H. Stevens  
Serial No.: 10/047581 Art Unit: 3738  
Filed : October 23, 2001 Examiner: C.D Prone  
For : Endovascular Aortic Valve Replacement

<b>CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING</b>	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via The Office Electronic Filing System in accordance with 37 CFR 1.6(a)(4).	
July 7, 2011	Julie Chan-Moroney
<b>Date of Transmission and Signature</b>	<b>Name of Applicant, Assignee, or Authorized Representative</b>
/Julie Chan-Moroney/	
<b>Signature</b>	

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RENEWED PETITION TO ACCEPT  
AN UNINTENTIONALLY DELAYED CLAIM OF PRIORITY**

Dear Sir:

This Petition is to correct an unintentionally delayed claim of priority in the above pending application and is in further response to the Decision Dismissing Petition Under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) mailed June 29, 2011. The Decision Dismissing the Petition of June 29, 2011, identified an error in the amendment to in the Specification. The sentence concerning "incorporation by reference" has been deleted in the accompanying amendment. Recapping, this renewed Petition addresses the previously identified errors in past Petitions as hereinafter described. The Decision Dismissing the Petition of April 14, 2011 identified an error with the separation of the Petition and its attached Appendices. This Petition now provides two separate Appendices. This Petition is resubmitted and is in response to the Notice of Dismissal of Petition mailed May 10, 2011. An amendment correcting the cited deficiency in the Specification is submitted concurrently with this Petition.

The Decision Dismissing the Petition dated February 18, 2011 identified an error in listing the filing date of Application No. 08/615,481. While this error is corrected below, the reason for Applicant's unintentionally delayed claim of priority remains as stated in the Applicant's Petition of September 20, 2004, namely that Applicant's representative received an Office Action dated March 19, 2004, and while preparing to respond to the Office Action, noted an error in the priority claim.

Applicant hereby petitions the Commissioner under 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a)(3) to claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 of earlier filed applications in the United States. The claim of priority is as follows:

This application is a continuation of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/615,481 filed on March 15, 1996, now U.S. Patent No. 6,338,735, which is division of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/206,419 filed on March 4, 1994, now U.S. Patent No. 5,545,214, which is a division of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 07/730,559 filed on July 16, 1991, now U.S. Patent No. 5,370,685.

As provided in the original Petition, the entire delay between the date the priority claim was due under paragraph § 1.78(a)(2)(ii), four months from the actual filing date of this application (i.e., February 23, 2002) and this date was unintentional. When the current application was filed, priority was claimed to the parent application, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/615,481, as indicated in box 18 of the Transmittal Sheet dated October 23, 2001, attached as Appendix A.

Upon receiving a Restriction Requirement dated June 18, 2002. Applicant noted that the priority claim had not been amended to claim priority to the earliest priority date to which the application was entitled. Applicant filed an Election and Amendment dated July 16, 2002, that amended the specification to add the priority claim. A copy of the Election and Amendment is attached as Appendix B. While the amendment appropriately claimed priority (albeit with an error in the filing date of Serial No. 08/206,419), the amendment was made after the four-month period permitted under 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a)(2)(ii). As stated above, Applicant's representative first became aware of the need to correct the priority statement while preparing to respond to the outstanding Office Action dated March 19, 2004, and promptly filed a Petition.

Please charge the surcharge set forth in 37 CFR § 1.17(t) \$1,410.00 to Account 10-0750/HRT0287/TJS and any additional surcharge or fee that is required in connection with this petition.

Please feel free to contact me, if any further information is required at (732)524-2498.

Respectfully submitted,

/Theodore J. Shatynski/

Theodore J. Shatynski  
Reg. No. 36676  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Johnson & Johnson  
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza  
New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003  
(732) 524-2498  
DATE: July 7, 2011